Physical and psychosocial development of Mapuche and nonindigenous Chilean toddlers: A modest role of ethnicity
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Abstract

Mapuche represents the largest indigenous group in Chile amounting to nearly 10% of the total population. In a longitudinal cohort of 12,398 children, we analyzed the role of ethnicity in physical and psychosocial development of Mapuche and nonindigenous Chilean toddlers (age 2.5 years), taking into account sociodemographic and caregiver characteristics. As indicated by our univariate analysis, the Mapuche developmental niche was characterized by lower income, lower maternal education, poorer quality of the home environment, longer breastfeeding, and higher parental stress. Physical development showed higher body mass index. Mapuche children showed less externalizing problems. We then analyzed the incremental contribution of ethnicity in a series of hierarchical regressions with the second wave of developmental measurements (age 4.5 years) as outcome variables, showing a significant but modest incremental contribution of ethnicity to the prediction of children’s development between 2.5 and 4.5 years of age. Controlling for environmental variables, Mapuche showed less externalizing and internalizing, behavior problems. Socioeconomic status, quality of the home environment, and parenting stress were stronger predictors of socioemotional development than ethnicity per se.

The need for integration of cultural context in the understanding of child development has gained increased attention over the last decades (Causadias, 2013; García Coll, Akerman, & Cicchetti, 2000). Therefore, the study of the interplay of culture, psychology, and biology in less explored populations and cultural contexts remains highly needed to compensate for the traditionally heavy emphasis on WEIRD (Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic) contexts in developmental psychology and psychopathology (Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010). Contextual factors, such as cultural background and family socioeconomic status, have been identified as important predictors of child development outcomes (Bornstein, 1995; Grantham-McGregor et al., 2007).

It has been proposed that different (sub)cultures can act as organizing agents in child development. They may influence the developmental trajectories through both proximal and distal processes and gradually become embodied in the child’s biological makeup and repertoire of behaviors. One approach to describe this process suggests to consider parents from specific cultures or ethnic groups as potentially creating a specific “developmental niche” with specific physical and social settings of daily life, specific customs of childcare, and a specific caretaker psychology (Harkness & Super, 1994). This approach is valuable, although (sub)cultures are never monolithic and children actively mold the cultural affordances into different individual trajectories. Unfortunately, the intricate interplay between culture and child development (Bradley & Corwyn, 2005; Causadias, 2013) has been infrequently studied in Latin America.

Chile is a South American country with a mixed ethnic population. Nearly 11.7% of the population identify themselves as belonging to an indigenous group according to the CASEN survey of the Social Observatory of the Ministry of Social Development (CASEN, 2015). By far, Mapuche represents the largest indigenous group in Chile (80%), amounting to 9.4% of the total population (CASEN, 2015). Despite being the largest indigenous group, the Mapuche population still forms an ethnic minority in a nonindigenous society. The Mapuche population lives primarily in the Aracánia region, where it represents 32% of the inhabitants (CASEN, 2015). This region shows the lowest gross domestic product per capita in Chile (11,542 USD per capita), reaching less than half of the national mean gross domestic product per capita (24,270 USD per capita, Central Bank of Chile).
Little is known about the way present-day Mapuche cana-
alyze child development. There is, however, evidence support-
ing that the particular characteristics of this ethnic group may
provide a distinctive developmental niche. The Mapuche
have traditionally resisted the dominant culture (Dunbar,
Saiz, Stela, & Saez, 2000) and only in recent years have
they become somewhat more integrated (Alarcón & Nahuel-
cheo, 2008). The Mapuche originally practiced polygamy
and lived in a patrilocal extended family. Traditionally, older
children were raised by all members of the patrilineal group,
with an authority role for the paternal grandfather. Children
were highly valued, and the number of children per woman
was high. Up to 4 years of age, children were in the constant
company of their mother, positioned on a cradle-board or
“kulpuw” and could observe all her activities in the tradi-
tional hut or “ruka” (Caniguan, 2012). During childhood,
they gradually begin to participate in gender-segregated
work activities (e.g., taking care of the cattle and cleaning
the ruka). The Mapuche worldview and significant legends
were transmitted within the family through storytelling in
Mapudungun, the Mapuche language. Regarding healthcare,
the Mapuche consult a Machi (traditional healer and religious
leader in the Mapuche culture), and mothers explain their
children’s diseases articulating religious, magic, and natural
(hot, cold, and humidity) causes (Alarcón-Muñoz & Vidal-
Herrera, 2005). The latter imposes an important communica-
tional barrier during the primary healthcare delivery process
(Molineaux, 2017).

Across the years, the Mapuche underwent a process of
suppression and marginalization, and their traditional social
structure has changed in various ways (Caniguan, 2012).
Some of these changes were the unfortunate result of eco-
nomical suppression: the underpaid labor of Mapuche men
outside their native villages, a form of labor migration, has re-
sulted in an increasing number of single mothers, and both
working and nonworking single mothers mostly live with
their parents. As a result, the grandmother has become an
important socializing agent, and the Mapuche culture is
partly moving to a matrilocal and matrilinear system (Can-
iguan, 2012). Other social changes formed an active adapta-
tion to the majority culture: Mapuche in rural areas no longer
live in rukas, no longer strive for large families, have accepted
school as a socializing agent, and many have adopted the Ro-
mán Catholic or, more recently, the protestant Evangelical re-
ligion. Nevertheless, the Mapuche have developed resilience
strategies rooted in many traditional and ancestral practice
(Causadias, 2013). They hold on to certain cultural beliefs
and practices that give meaning to their daily life and promote
well-being. For instance, they celebrate traditional festivities
and may still consult a Machi, the traditional healer. It can be
argued that the Mapuche have gradually become a multicul-
tural or mixed-cultural ethnic group that in some respects has
become indistinguishable from the nonindigenous of the
same social class (Caniguan, 2012; Sadler & Obach, 2006),
but this assimilation process is still rather recent. In Chile
the identification as belonging to the Mapuche ethnic group
still remains a very strong group affiliation, with particular
cultural dynamics that account for intrinsic transmitted
norms, values, beliefs, behavior, and worldview.

Recently it has been described how Mapuche women re-
create cultural practices despite migration to urban areas,
bringing meanings, customs, and practices encouraging
younger generations to value and strengthen their cultural
identity (Becerra, Merino, Webb, & Larrañaga, 2017). These
elements are especially noticeable within the current political
turmoil (e.g., current discussions about territorial secession and a separate constitution; Carruthers & Rodriguez, 2009);
therefore, the self-identification to the Mapuche ethnic group
can be interpreted in many aspects as a culture-defining char-
acteristic in the current Chilean context.

Ethnicity and Physical Development

Ethnic differences in anthropometric data have been observed
for years both at the country level and between different eth-
cultural or mixed-cultural ethnic groups within a country (Ball et al., 2010; Widyanti, Sus-
 anti, Sutalaksana, & Muslim, 2015; Yap, Chan, Chan, & Wang, 2001). These differences could be restricted to the
level of proportions within different body segments or extend
to overall body dimensions (Widyanti et al., 2015). Several
efforts are being made to establish anthropometric databases
for different population and ethnic groups (de Onis et al.,
2004, 2012; Fredriks et al., 2005; Ramírez-Velez et al.,
2016; Zong & Li, 2013); however, complete and comprehen-
sive information for many regions and ethnic groups is miss-
ing and insight into social and ethnic determinants of early
physical development is still absent.

A recent study by Jones (2018), showed that mothers’
work condition is associated with obesity risk in their chil-
dren. However, this association highly depends on ethnicity
and socioeconomic status affecting both mothers’ work and
children’s physical parameters. For instance, mothers’ work
outside home was detrimental to child weight for White chil-
dren, whereas for Black children it decreased child obesity
risk (Jones, 2018). In addition, body mass index trajectories
at early years are also reported to be associated with ethnic
background (Guerrero et al., 2016).

In Chile, being Mapuche has clear connotations. Typi-
cally, they have a specific set of values and traditions, they
have their own language, and they have been isolated from
non-Mapuche Chileans. In general, a Mapuche Chilean has
lower income in comparison to a non-Mapuche Chilean
and a higher rate of indigence (Agostini, Brown, & Román,
2010). Following Cohen’s formulations (2009) about the
importance of socioeconomic status, region, and religion to ac-
count for the cultural variations of transmission of norms, be-
liefs, values, and behaviors, being characterized as a
Mapuche is substantially different from being a non-Ma-
puche Chilean.

From a morphological perspective, there have been studies
that found differences in the body mass index and somato-
types of Mapuche and non-Mapuche children (Bruneau-Chá-
The Development of Behavior Problems Among Mapuche and Non-Mapuche

The development of socioemotional competence during early childhood is an important foundation for children’s later social adaptation and success (Raver, 2002). The emerging ability of young children to form close and secure adult and peer relationships in socially and culturally appropriate ways is strongly associated with the rearing environment. Several variables including, socioeconomic status, child care quality, ethnic background, and gender can influence the development of problematic socioemotional behavior (Miner & Clarke-Stewart, 2008). Children from more vulnerable socioeconomic environments consistently show more problem behaviors, compared to their wealthier peers (Berger, Paxson, & Waldfogel, 2009).

Behavior problems are a wide set of conducts related to two broad-band dimensions of psychopathology in children: internalized and externalized problems (Achenbach, 1966). Internalizing problems are related to inhibited overcontrolling problems that are inner directed (e.g., depression, anxiety, somatization, etc.), and externalizing problems are related to aggressive, antisocial undercontrolling behaviors that are manifested externally (e.g., aggression, defiance, or hyperactivity) and are outer directed (Holland, Malmberg, & Peacock, 2017).

Given the rapid changes in different domains (cognitive, linguistic, emotional, etc.) in toddlerhood and the preschool years, these behaviors have potential to become an indicator of long-term difficulties (Briggs-Gowan & Carter, 2008), and have been related to a series of antecedents such as poverty (Costello, Compton, Keeler, & Angold, 2003), psychological and behavioral parental control (Barber, Olsen, & Shagle, 1994), parental stress (Neece, Green, & Baker, 2012), harsh discipline (McKee et al., 2007), and maternal mental health (Shaw, Connell, Dishion, Wilson, & Gardner, 2009).

At this moment, very few studies on this topic have been conducted in Latin America. One study in Brazil found that behavioral and emotional problems in the preschool years persisted over preadolescence, especially for externalizing problems (Anselmi et al., 2008), showing evidence of continuity, stability, and predictability of behavioral problems in a developing country. In a large study conducted in 23 societies, Rescorla et al. (2011) found that in comparison with the omnicultural mean, Chile had the highest score of total behavior problems in children from 1.5 to 5 years reported by their parents.

Empirical evidence demonstrating differences in socioemotional problems between Mapuche and nonindigenous children is missing. There is one study conducted by Vicente, Rioseco, Saldivia, Kohn, and Torres (2005), on prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Chilean adults older than 15 years of age, showing less prevalence among the Mapuche in affective disorders and other disorders. In another study of Chilean indigenous minority groups, Caqueo-Urriar et al. (2014) also found that among the minority group, children showed lower scores in behavioral problems when compared to nonindigenous children according to their teachers. This result is also consistent with what Rothhammer et al. (2005) found in similar populations on attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder.

There is, however, data from other ethnic groups, in the United States, to suggest that African American males are considerably more likely to show behavioral problems due to their perceived inability to regulate their emotions and behavior (Gilliam & Shahar, 2006). However, one study, addressing ethnic differences in socioemotional development in preschool, showed that behavioral problems associated with ethnicity disappear when the teacher–child ethnicity match was taken into consideration (Scott & Howex, 2011).
The Role of Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status on Child Development

The differences in child rearing environments between different ethnic groups have been studied in the United States among others by Bradley, Corwyn, McAdoo, and Coll (2001). They have shown that effects of poverty on the home environment are more pronounced than are the effects of a particular ethnicity. Other studies reported that ethnic minority parents show less sensitive behavior than majority parents (Spiker, Ferguson, & Brooks-Gunn, 1993; van IJzendoorn, 1990; Yaman, Mesman, van IJzendoorn, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & Linting, 2010). However, a review suggested that these differences are most probably caused by socioeconomic differences (Mesman, van IJzendoorn, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2012). Children from low-income families seem vulnerable to the accumulation of several risk conditions, which become an impediment for reaching their potential across different developmental domains (Grantham-McGregor et al., 2007). Nevertheless, it is still possible that the interpretation of children’s signals is subject to cultural beliefs and customs (Bornstein et al., 1992; Keller & Otto, 2009). Despite current progress, it is still difficult to attribute final causality to the complex construct of socioeconomic status. How socioeconomic status operates through multiple mechanisms to affect developmental trajectories varying across ethnic groups remains unclear and deserves further investigation (Bradley & Corwyn, 2005).

Sroufe (1997) proposed that maladaptation is the result of a series of interactions between risk and protective factors that could arise from the environment and/or endogenous characteristics. From this perspective, behavioral problems are not a static psychopathological condition, but a maladaptation that leads to a probabilistic pathway to a future disorder.

Research in the developmental trajectories from the early onset of externalizing problems has shown that the mechanism from which they could lead to a disorder is through developmental cascades (Masten & Cicchetti, 2010). One example in the literature shows that behavior problems are a strong predictor of substance abuse in adolescence (Dodge et al., 2009), and recent evidence considers the interaction between externalizing and internalizing problems to understand probability and amount of substance use (Colder et al., 2018).

The Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood]

The Chilean Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] is a joint effort by the Chilean Ministry of Education and the University of Chile to collect data about early childhood that allows us to evaluate the effect of government child-related policies. A representative sample of more than 15,000 children from 6 months up to 5 years old and their family from all over the country are being followed in a longitudinal study. Caregivers and relatives are interviewed, and children and caregivers are subjected to a battery of anthropometric measurements and psychological tests. In addition, demographic data is registered. The instruments used and the data collected at the first two measurement rounds, in 2010 and 2012, respectively, were available to our research team.

Currently, only very few articles have been published using data available from the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] study. They mainly have focused on the socioeconomic impact on the development of early childhood. For instance, Behrman, Palma, and Puentes (2017) analyzed data of children’s differences on anthropometric measures, and they showed that there were no significant differences between low- and high-income families. At birth, there is a slight difference favoring the poorest in height, but it disappears over time. The authors indicate that the major prevalence of Cesarean and shorter gestation in the richest families (three times higher than the poorest) could explain this difference. They also concluded that wealth disparities are more noticeable after the second year of life, especially in cognitive skills more than in anthropometrics, but education and cognitive ability of the mother are also an important factor.

Furthermore, Kagawa, Fernald, and Behrman (2016) explored the association between weight and behavioral problems in children. Using the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] data set, they found that this association exists but only at the age to start elementary school. Children younger than 6 years old do not show this association. This finding was driven by girls and not significant for boys. Reynolds, Fernald, and Behrman (2017) also studied a sample from the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] data set examining the relation between mother’s labor market participation and child development outcomes. Taking into account language, cognitive, and socioemotional development, they concluded that in the Chilean population, contrary to several previous results in other samples, children performed better on all these outcomes when mothers participated full-time in the labor market during the first 2 years of life than in cases where mothers worked less. Authors indicated that these results may be associated to more access to toys and other resources, and reduced maternal stress (Reynolds et al., 2017).

Only one study using the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] data has focused on ethnic differences (Cárcamo, Vermeer, van der Veer, & van IJzendoorn, 2015). This study provided empirical data describing the childcare environment of children raised in the Mapuche and nonindigenous Chilean population. This work reported very small differences in the quality of the childcare environment, and several disadvantageous conditions for Mapuche children. This comparison revealed that socioeconomic status plays a major role to explain the developmental differences.

In summary, very little is known about early childhood development in the Mapuche ethnic group, and empirical infor-
ition on change in physical and socioemotional parameters is scarce. Therefore, we first performed a comprehensive analysis of anthropometric and behavioral outcomes in Chilean toddlers from different ethnic groups based on a longitudinal, multidimensional, contextualizing, and representative data set. We then analyzed which individual, contextual, or environmental factors are associated with putative differences in toddlers’ development across 2 years.

Our first research question was whether there are differences in development of children growing up within the Mapuche culture as compared with nonindigenous children in Chile. Based on the available literature we propose two hypotheses:

**Hypothesis 1:** Mapuche Chilean children will have similar physical growth outcomes across time points, but more behavioral problems than nonindigenous children.

Our second research question was whether child development may also, or even more strongly, be related to socioeconomic status of the family compared to ethnicity.

**Hypothesis 2:** Socioeconomic status will be more strongly associated with developmental outcomes in Chilean children in various domains of growth and development than ethnicity.

**Method**

**Participants**

The Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] data were available for two time points, in 2010 and 2012, respectively. The initial sample at the first time point included 15,175 children. To select the sample, we first decided to involve in our analysis families where the target child is raised by the mother mainly. This criterion emerged from the fact that 98.8% (N = 14,996) of the families reported that the mother is the main caregiver. We then selected those cases that were interviewed at both time points for analysis (n = 12,607). Finally, we selected from those, families where the mother reported to be part of the Mapuche indigenous group and those who did not belong to any indigenous group. Thus, 12,398 children and their mothers were included in our analysis: 876 (7%) families belonged to the Mapuche ethnic minority group and 11,522 (93%) families belonged to the majority group in Chile. The percentage of missing data for background variables ranged between 0.1% for breastfeeding and 27.9%, for the parental stress index variable. Table 1 reports the sample sizes for all the background variables. For outcome variables, sample sizes are displayed in Table 2.

To have a complete data set for regression analysis, we imputed data for variables with missing data. The selected imputation model was the E-M algorithm (Hedderley & Wakeling, 1995). For results on hierarchical and stepwise regressions without imputation, see Appendix A Tables A.1 to A.4. Regressions in the imputed and original data set converged to the same conclusions.

Mean age of all mothers was 29.33 years (SD = 7.12) at Time 1. Mean age of the children was 30.43 months (SD = 12.81; range from 7 to 58 months) at Time 1, and 56.48 months (SD = 12.78; range from 33 to 83 months) at Time 2. Fifty percent of the sample consisted of boys, and 29% were raised by single mothers. Table 1 shows the background variables and family characteristics, comparing Mapuche and nonindigenous families.

**Procedure**

The first time point for assessment was in 2010. During a first visit, the interviewers (n = 467; 78% female) introduced the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood] through a letter that explained the study, highlighting its importance for the development of new public policies and clarifying the anonymity and voluntary participation. Interviewers tried to engage the participants, collected demographic data, and arranged the second visit. The second visit was conducted by 326 observers (85% female), and all of them had a higher education in the social sciences, mostly psychology. The goal of the second visit was to measure the child’s physical and socioemotional development and the quality of the home environment. Observations during the second visit were completed for 91.6% of the families interviewed during the first visit. Interviewers received an extensive training explaining the goal of the study, details of the survey, and the standardization of the procedures. The data collection took place in 2010 in a range of 6 months; all the surveys were double-checked by supervisors, and 10% of the interviews were also supervised during data collection to ensure the high quality of the process and the data.

The second time point for assessments was in 2012, and the same procedure was followed. The first visit was intended to reengage the family into the study and to make an appointment for the second visit by a professional psychologist. All the instruments were applied in one session, and no order of them are reported or available for our research team.

**Instruments**

**Anthropometric variables:** Body mass index. Weight and height at birth was reported by the parents during the first interview. Head circumference at birth was not asked of the caregivers; therefore, this data was not available for analysis. Measurements were performed at two different time points as previously reported (Kagawa et al., 2016): after receiving formal training on proper measurement of anthropometrics, interviewers weighed and measured the children during visits to the homes. Children under 2 years of age were weighed in the arms of their caretaker and the caretaker’s weight was subsequently subtracted. Children over 2 years old were weighed...
individually. A SECA brand digital scale was used for all weight measurements. To measure length, children under 2 years old were measured lying down. A parent assisted the researcher in keeping the child still and straight, and the interviewer used a ruler or a book and masking tape to mark the child’s length against a measuring tape attached to a flat surface. To measure height in children over the age of 2, children were assessed standing with their heels, buttocks, shoulders, and head making contact with a wall. The interviewer marked their height against the wall using a book or ruler and masking tape. All were measured barefoot and without hair adornments.

Age- and sex-standardized weight, height, and Body Mass Index across time points (birth, and first and second wave), and its related z-scores were calculated with a custom implementation of the “igrowup.standard” function in R V3.4.4, following World Health Organization recommendations (de Onis et al., 2004; WHO, 2011).

Head circumference was measured with a plastic wrap placed over the child’s ears and turning around the head. The obtained measure was then recorded in centimeters. The data was normalized for age and sex with the “sdschild” R package using the WHO and European (United Kingdom) reference tables.

Behavioral problems. The behavioral problems were measured using the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000). This instrument is widely used to measure three general scales: internalizing, externalizing, and total problems. The complete test is composed of 90 items with concrete behavior descriptions. The answer options are untrue, sometimes true, and always true. In the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood], in addition to the version for children between 18 months and 72 months old, a CBCL version for older children was used with a limited number of children (n = 1,769) and no children were measured with this version at the first wave, which is why we only considered for analysis CBCL scores for young children at both time points.

Background variables.

Ethnicity. The ethnicity of the family was defined by self-report. Families were considered as belonging to Mapuche when the mother stated in the interview that they belonged to this minority ethnic group.

Income. Mothers or main caregivers were asked for the monthly family per capita income in Chilean Pesos (CLP).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of demographic and family characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family characteristics</th>
<th>Mapuche</th>
<th>Nonindigenous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>3.88 (1.63)</td>
<td>10,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>3.06 (0.90)</td>
<td>11,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>29.51 (7.36)</td>
<td>11,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfeeding (time)</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>14.18 (9.62)</td>
<td>10,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Stress Index</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>76.87 (24.15)</td>
<td>8,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT - HOME Time 1</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>0.70 (0.14)</td>
<td>9,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC - HOME Time 2</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>0.59 (0.20)</td>
<td>10,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Height at birth (cm)</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>0.18 (1.09)</td>
<td>10,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Weight at birth (kg)</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>0.22 (1.04)</td>
<td>10,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-Body mass index at birth</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>0.22 (1.16)</td>
<td>10,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child age (months)</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>30.80 (12.92)</td>
<td>10,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child age (months) Time 2</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>57.17 (12.91)</td>
<td>10,442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Descriptive statistics of demographic and family characteristics. Upper section shows N, mean (M), and standard deviation (SD) differences between ethnic groups were compared with t test (t (df)) and effect size (d). The lower section shows correlation of different proportions as assessed by chi-squared test ($\chi^2$). *p < .001.
Table 2. Descriptive statistics, groups comparisons, and Pearson correlations of outcome variables by ethnic groups at both time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variables</th>
<th>Mapuche</th>
<th>Nonindigenous</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Correlations b across time points (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical growth (z-scores)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>1.29 (1.25)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.16)</td>
<td>1.27 (1.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>9,637</td>
<td>9,341</td>
<td>10,448</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.19)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.15)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.15)</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.20)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.15)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.15)</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Maternal educational level. The level of maternal education was self-reported using 19 categories ranging from no education to postgraduate. We transformed these categories into a 5-point scale (1 = no education or incomplete elementary; 2 = incomplete secondary school; 3 = secondary school; 4 = vocational education; and 5 = university studies).

Marital status. Mothers reported at the interview if they were single, married, or divorced. We grouped in the category single mother those who were single, separated, divorced, or widowed. For the category couple, we considered those mothers who indicated to be married or cohabiting. A separate variable indicated whether the biological father was living with the child.

Parental stress. The Parent Stress Index (Abidin, 1995) was applied during the second wave of the Encuesta Longitudinal de la Primera Infancia [Longitudinal Survey of Early Childhood]. Thirty-six items of multiple choice were used in an abbreviated version of the original scale. The scale reports a global score, based on the mother’s perception of the parental tasks. Higher scores mean higher level of stress.

Obstetric parameters. Information regarding type of delivery and breast feeding was collected by interviewers during the first visit at Time 1. Mothers were asked whether they underwent Cesarean delivery (yes/no), and for the period of breastfeeding (how many months).

Mother’s personality characteristics neuroticism. The Big Five Inventory (Casullo, 2000) was applied to all caregivers. The scale is a self-report inventory and consist of 44 items rated on a 5-point scale. The instrument measures five dimensions of personality (extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness). For our analysis, we decide to use the neuroticism dimension, as there are important variables measured as a self-report.

Quality of home environment. Quality of the home environment was measured with an adapted version of the In-
fants/Toddler Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (IT-HOME) at Time 1 in 10,582 families in the analyzed sample. For the majority of the sample (n = 10,641; 97.7%), the adaptation of the Early Childhood Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (EC-HOME; Caldwell & Bradley, 2003) was used at Time 2; in 240 families (4.6% Mapuche), the IT-HOME was used at Time 2. In both measures, a restricted number of items were selected (32 items for IT-HOME at Time 1 and 22 of the 32 items at Time 2, and 16 items of the 22 for EC-HOME). Through the different time points and versions, the study kept measuring the same subscales of the HOME: responsibility, acceptance, and provision of materials. The IT-HOME at Time 1 measured two more subscales: organization and variety. Bivariate correlations between IT-HOME at Time 1 and Time 2, and between IT-HOME and EC-HOME were comparable (respectively, r = .24 and r = .26). Internal consistency of both instruments was good, with a Cronbach’s α of 0.77 for the IT-HOME at Time 1, α = 0.75 for IT-HOME at Time 2, and α = 0.81 for EC-HOME at Time 2. Because of comparable content of items, correlations, and reliabilities, we decided to aggregate scores across IT-HOME and EC-HOME (at Time 2), resulting in one score for Time 2 (hereafter labeled as EC-HOME).

All the outcome variables and the HOME scale were measured at both time points, whereas background variables were collected at Time 1 during the first interview.

**Data analytic strategy**

We explored differences in background variables of Mapuche and nonindigenous ethnic groups. As independent samples t test was performed on family characteristics and children variables. For all means comparisons, we calculate the Cohen’s d effect sizes with the online calculator Practical Meta-Analysis Effect Size Calculator. The formula used for Cohen’s d was $d = M_1 - M_2 / \sigma_{pooled}$. For interpretation of effect size, we considered 0.8, 0.5, and 0.2 as large, medium, and small, respectively (Cohen, 1988). Body mass index, head circumference, and the development of internalizing and externalizing behavioral problems were selected as development outcomes. A t test for independent samples was used to test differences in outcomes across time points between Mapuche and nonindigenous children. To test the association between income and development outcomes, a series of univariate analysis by one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) across the three income groups (low, medium, and high) was conducted. The post hoc analysis (Bonferroni) and eta square were used to identify differences and to calculate effect sizes between groups.

To explore the contribution of ethnicity to the prediction of selected outcomes, a series of hierarchical regressions was performed. In order to identify additional significant predictors of child development outcomes, stepwise regression analysis was conducted on body mass index, head circumference, and development of behavioral problems (internalizing and externalizing). Normality of residual variances, homoscedasticity, and absence of multicollinearity was tested for each regression. Finally, for significant predictors, the moderator role of ethnicity was tested using an interaction model based on the multiple regressions. In the first step, the measurement of the variable at the first time point was entered, in the second step centered predictors were added, and in the final step each interaction product between ethnicity and a significant predictor was entered. A significant interaction with ethnicity was a prerequisite for testing the regression model in each of the two groups, Mapuche and non-Mapuche, in order to examine whether in one of the groups the predictive model would be different from the regression model in the total group.

**Results**

First, we tested for differences in means of background variables between Mapuche and nonindigenous families. Statistically significant differences were found in income and maternal education ($d = 0.32; d = 0.34$, respectively). Mapuche families showed lower income per capita ($M = 3.88, SD = 1.63$) than nonindigenous families ($M = 4.58, SD = 2.17$) and lower educational level on average ($M = 3.06, SD = 0.90$) than the majority group ($M = 3.37, SD = 0.89$).

The quality of the home environment was significantly higher for the nonindigenous families across time points with moderate effect sizes ($d = 0.45$ for Time 1 and $d = 0.33$ for Time 2). In addition, Mapuche mothers showed higher scores on the parental stress index ($M = 76.87, SD = 24.15$), than the nonindigenous mothers ($M = 71.92, SD = 22.33$), with a small effect size ($d = 0.22$).

Considering the existence of differences in primary healthcare in Mapuche versus nonindigenous individuals in Chile (Torri & Laplante, 2013), we analyzed several clinical variables known to be related with medical care during the perinatal period, such as type of delivery and breast feeding.

Regarding perinatal maternal variables (see Table 1), the overall observed obstetric intervention rate was 45 per 100 live births. Our analysis revealed higher prevalence of Cesaréan delivery in nonindigenous (45.7%) as compared to Mapuche mothers (39.1%, $p = .001$). The breast-feeding period assessed in months was statistically higher ($d = 0.17$) for the Mapuche group ($M = 14.18, SD = 9.62$) than the nonindigenous group ($M = 12.61, SD = 9.06$).

**Physical growth**

We then analyzed the trajectory of anthropometric measurements including body mass index and head circumference across available time points. Variables related to physical growth were within the expected range for the Chilean population for both Mapuche and nonindigenous children. Body mass index was computed from measured weight and height and then adjusted by age using the World Health Organization references and values were reported in z-score (de Onis
et al., 2004; WHO, 2011). At birth the body mass index was $M = 13.67$ ($SD = 1.46$; $z$-score $= 0.16$; see Table 1). Mapuche newborns and nonindigenous children had similar body mass index with no significant differences.

Children were assessed at the first time point at a mean age of 30.43 months ($SD = 12.81$). The mean $z$-score for body mass index was $M = 1.27$ ($SD = 1.19$), and for head circumference was $M = -0.44$ ($SD = 1.15$). At the second time point, at a mean age of 56.48 months ($SD = 12.78$), the mean $z$-score for body mass index was $M = 1.05$ ($SD = 1.15$) and for head circumference was $M = -0.21$ ($SD = 1.12$). Correlations between the first and second time points on physical growth ranged from $r = .36$ to $r = .41$ (all $p < .001$; see Table 2). At follow-up there were statistically significant differences between Mapuche and nonindigenous children for body mass index ($d = 0.01$ and $d = 0.14$), at both time points, respectively (see Table 2 and Figure 1).

Next, we analyzed whether differences in body mass index or head circumference are associated with the socioeconomic status. A one-way ANOVA was performed to reveal univariate differences in body mass index, and head circumference between low, medium, and high income across time points. At birth, there were significant differences on body mass index between groups, $F(2, 9405) = 7.135, p = .001, \eta^2 = .001$ (see Figure 1). Post hoc analysis (Bonferroni corrected) showed that the low-income group had a higher $z$-score on body mass index ($M = 0.21, SD = 1.15$) than the high-income group ($M = 0.10, SD = 1.15$), and the difference was statistically significant ($p = .001$). There were no differences between the medium-income and the other two groups. Statistical differences in body mass index between income groups were found at the first measured time point, $F(2, 9114) = 3.496, p = .030, \eta^2 = .001$. Post-hoc analysis (Bonferroni) showed that there is a significant difference between the low-income group and the high-income group ($p = .030$). The low-income group showed higher score ($M = 1.16, SD = 1.21$) than the high-income group ($M = 1.08, SD = 1.17$). There was no significant difference between the medium-income group and the other two groups. Post hoc analysis showed no statistical differences at Time 2 between income groups, $F(2, 9625) = 1.435, p = .238$.

Head circumference was different between income groups at both time points: first time point, $F(2, 9873) = 13.497, p = .001, \eta^2 = .003$; second time point, $F(2, 10624) = 8.370, p = .001, \eta^2 = .002$. Post hoc analysis showed that for the two time points, the low-income group had a smaller head circumference ($M_{Time1} = -0.50, SD = 1.14; M_{Time2} = -0.27, SD = 1.14$) than the high-income group ($M_{Time1} = -0.35, SD = 1.14; M_{Time2} = -0.15, SD = 1.14$), and these differences are significant ($p = .001$ for both). For the medium-income group at the first time point ($M_{Time1} = -0.45, SD = 1.16$) differences were statistically significant when compared with the high-income group at the first time point ($p = .003$), and not with the low-income group ($p = .303$). At the second time point, the post hoc analysis showed a significant difference ($p = .017$) between the low-income group ($M = -0.27, SD = 1.23$) and the medium-income group ($M = -0.19, SD = 1.23$). There was also a significant difference ($p = .001$) between the low-income group and the high-income group ($M = -0.15, SD = 1.20$), and no difference ($p = .854$) between the medium-income and high-income groups.

A series of hierarchical regressions were performed to explore the contribution of ethnicity on body mass index and head circumference. Table 3 shows that the contribution is

---

**Figure 1.** Trajectories of physical development as assessed by age-adjusted child body mass index and head circumference. Body mass index was analyzed at three different time points: Time 0, birth; Time 1, first interview; Time 2, second interview. Head circumference was measured at two time points: Time 1, first interview Time 2, second interview. (a) Physical development according to ethnicity; and (b) physical development according with income background. Significance of cross-sectional analysis is indicated for each time point, for two groups comparison $t$ tests are reported, and for three-group comparison, one-way analysis of variance significance is indicated.*$p < .01$.**$p < .001$. **
weak and only significant for body mass index measured at Time 2 ($\beta = 0.021$, $p = .014$), after confounders were added into the equation. For head circumference, ethnicity did not contribute to the prediction.

To identify significant predictors of body mass index and head circumference, a stepwise regression analysis was performed. As shown in Table 4, at average age of 4.5 years, the most important predictor for physical development was the previous measurement at average age of 2.5, and the remaining significant predictors showed a minimal contribution to the outcomes. Child gender contributed significantly to predict head circumference ($\beta = -0.021$ to 0.084), and type of delivery did predict body mass index ($\beta = 0.034$), and head circumference ($\beta = 0.052$). Parental stress negatively predicted circumference ($\beta = -0.034$). The quality of the home environment at 2.5 years of age was a significant predictor of head circumference. Finally, ethnicity was a significant predictor only for body mass index ($\beta = 0.008$, $p < .01$). Subsequently, we tested whether significant predictors were moderated by ethnicity, but no significant role of interaction terms was found neither for body mass index (Ethnicity × Type of Delivery interaction $p = .236$) nor for head circumference (Ethnicity × Type of Delivery interaction $p = .708$, Ethnicity × Gender interaction $p = .069$, Ethnicity × Parental Stress interaction $p = .227$, Ethnicity × HOME1 interaction $p = .478$).

**Behavioral problems**

According to the CBCL reports, for the total population, the mean $T$ scores at the first time point for externalizing ($M = 59.31$, $SD = 10.47$) and internalizing ($M = 59.28$, $SD = .096$) were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>Model 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$SE$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$SE$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Standardized beta value from the final equation is reported only for significant predictors, except ethnicity that is always reported. Blue bars represent positive beta values and red bars negative values. *Gender: 0 = male, 1 = female. **Type of delivery: 0 = normal, 1 = Cesarean. ***Marital status: 0 = single, 1 = couple. Ethnicity: -1 = nonindigenous, 1 = Mapuche. $p$ value is reported for ethnicity:* $< .05$; ** $< .01$; *** $< .001$.

**Table 4. Stepwise regression model to predict physical growth at Time 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome at Time 1</th>
<th>Body mass index</th>
<th>Head circumference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child gender$^a$</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td>.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast feeding time</td>
<td></td>
<td>.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of delivery$^b$</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $^c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental stress</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME T1 $^e$</td>
<td></td>
<td>.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME T2 $^f$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity$^d$</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.35) were within the normal range (T below 60), but there were significant differences between the ethnic groups. Mapuche children showed less externalizing behavioral problems (M = 58.48, SD = 10.93) than nonindigenous children (M = 59.37, SD = 10.43) at the first time point (p = .03, d = 0.08), and statistically significant (p = .004, d = 0.11) more internalizing problems (M = 60.27, SD = 9.42), than the nonindigenous children (M = 59.29, SD = 9.34). At the second measurement, the T scores were lower for all scales (see Table 2), and only the externalizing behavior problems remained significantly different (p = .005, d = 0.11) between Mapuche (M = 52.77, SD = 12.16) and nonindigenous children (M = 54.12, SD = 11.87), higher for the latter group. Across time, the CBCL scores for externalizing and internalizing correlated from r = .28 to .42 (see Table 2).

One-way ANOVA analysis showed significant differences between groups for low, medium, and high income in externalizing behavioral problems, F (2, 8159) = 39.003, p = .001, and internalizing behavioral problems, F (2, 8159) = 121.452, p = .001, at Time 1. Furthermore, at Time 2, there were also significant differences between income groups in externalizing behavioral problems, F (2, 8984) = 32.698 p = .001, and internalizing behavioral problems, F (2, 8977) = 83.079, p = .001. All the effect sizes of these differences were small ($\eta^2 = .007$ to .029). Post hoc analysis (Bonferroni) indicated that for externalizing behavior problems at Time 1, the low-income group (M = 60.24, SD = 10.58) and medium-income group (M = 59.73, SD = 10.25) showed a significant higher score (p = .001 for both) than the high-income group (M = 57.81, SD = 10.17). No significant differences were found between low- and medium-income groups (p = .195). For internalizing behavioral problems at Time 1, low-income showed higher scores (M = 60.89, SD = 9.33) than the medium-income group (M = 59.47, SD = 8.97) and the high-income group (M = 57.01, SD = 9.27). There is also a significant difference between the last two groups. All differences are p < .001.

For externalizing behavior problems at Time 2, the low-income group (M = 54.84, SD = 12.13) and the medium-income group (M = 54.16, SD = 11.87) showed a significant higher score (p = .001 for both) than the high-income group (M = 52.40, SD = 11.35). No significant differences were found between the low- and medium-income groups (p = .072). For internalizing behavioral problems at Time 2, the low-income group showed higher scores (M = 57.64, SD = 11.63) than the medium-income group (M = 56.22, SD = 11.43) and the high-income group (M = 53.91, SD = 10.55). There is also a significant difference between the last two groups. All differences are p < .001 (see Figure 2 for details).

A series of hierarchical regressions were performed to examine the ethnicity contribution to behavioral problems. Table 5 shows that without any covariates Mapuche children showed less externalizing problem behaviors at Time 2 (age M = 56.48), but internalizing problems did not differ between ethnicities. However, for externalizing and internalizing problems, ethnicity predicted positive change across the two time points (Mapuche children developed lower levels of behavior problems from Time 1 to Time 2) when demographics and parental stress and neuroticism were added to the equation (Model 4).

The stepwise regression analysis of behavioral problems identified the previous score (at age 2.5 years) and parental stress as the most significant predictors with a $\beta$ ranging from 0.319 to 0.360 for the 2.5-year measurement and 0.288 to 0.344 for parental stress. Child age contributed negatively to internalizing and externalizing problems ($\beta = -0.090$; $\beta = -0.174$, respectively). Female gender predicted negatively externalizing problems ($\beta = -0.053$). The quality of the home environment contributed to the development of behavioral problems when measured at Time 1 ($\beta = 0.067$ and $\beta = 0.045$, for internalizing and externalizing problems, respectively) but negatively when measured at Time 2 ($\beta = -0.092$ and $\beta = -0.114$, for internalizing

![Figure 2](https://www.cambridge.org/core). Development of behavior problems as assessed by Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) score. The x-axis depicts income categories: low, medium, high, and bar colors adscription to the Mapuche ethnic group (see text for details). Variables were analyzed at two time points: Time 1, first interview; Time 2, second interview.
and externalizing problems, respectively). Ethnicity negatively contributed to predict behavioral problems in the final equation (β = -0.023 and β = -0.026, for internalizing and externalizing problems, respectively), meaning that Mapuche children showed less behavior problems after controlling for potential confounders. Other background variables modestly contributing to behavioral problems are presented in Table 6.

The analysis to test the moderator role of ethnicity on child behavioral problems showed that for externalizing problems, there was a significant interaction between mother’s education and ethnicity (p = .047) as well as between parental stress and ethnicity (p = .014). However, for internalizing behavioral problems, no interactions were found (Ethnicity × Age interaction p = .190, Ethnicity × Parental Stress interaction p = .078, Ethnicity × HOME1 interaction p = .248, Ethnicity × HOME2 interaction p = .556). The interactions between parental stress and ethnicity as well as between ethnicity and maternal education for predicting the development of externalizing problems were analyzed by separated regressions. For the Mapuche group, parental stress was a somewhat less strong predictor (β = 0.422) than for the nonindigenous children (β = 0.469). For the Mapuche group, maternal education was a somewhat stronger predictor (β = 0.103) than for the nonindigenous group (β = 0.038). In both cases the direction of the association was the same.

Discussion

Results of this study suggest that children from the Mapuche ethnic group experience a different developmental niche than nonindigenous children. These differences in developmental

Table 6. Stepwise regression model to predict behavior problems at Time 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Standardized β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBCL Internalizing</td>
<td>.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCL Externalizing</td>
<td>.363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Standardized beta value from the final equation is reported only for significant predictors, except ethnicity, which is always reported. Blue bars represent positive beta values and red bars negative values. *Gender: -1 = male, 1 = female. #Marital status: -1 = single, 1 = couple. *Ethnicity: -1 = nonindigenous, 1 = Mapuche. p value is reported for ethnicity: *p < .01, **p < .001.
conditions can be traced back at least to birth. Mapuche children seem to develop in less favorable conditions, in particular when looking at variables imposed by the wider socioeconomic context such as family income, maternal education, parenting stress, and quality of the home environment.

We first hypothesized that Mapuche children would have similar results on physical outcomes across time points, with more behavioral problems than nonindigenous children. Based on our data, the first hypothesis was only partially confirmed. Mapuche and nonindigenous children showed similar anthropometric parameters at birth. However, during follow-up, Mapuche children showed development trajectories characterized by an increasing body mass index.

Data at birth is consistent with previous results showing the absence of an ethnic disparity in birth weight and height despite substantial socioeconomic disadvantages in the indigenous population (Amigo et al., 2010). Bustos et al. (2009) have reported that throughout the last decade (years 1997–2005), there was a marked decrease in stunting in children with a strong indigenous background, accompanied by an increase in obesity (Bustos et al., 2009).

Converging with this overall secular trend, our study carried out one decade later found that the higher body mass index of Mapuche children confirm obesity as an emerging issue. Bustos et al. explain differences in height by socioeconomic factors. We hypothesized that socioeconomic status will predict outcomes in Chilean children in various domains of growth and development. At the physical level, lower income was associated with smaller head circumference and increased body mass index although the latter difference was no longer present at the second assessment time point. When controlling for demographics and family variables, in a series of hierarchical regression analysis, in which ethnicity remained a significant predictor only for body mass index and income did not contribute to the final equation. The identification of the main determinants of physical growth differences warrants future investigation.

We tried to further understand the relative contribution of income and ethnicity in a stepwise hierarchical regression analysis, in which ethnicity remained a significant predictor only for body mass index and income did not contribute to the final equation. The identification of the main determinants of physical growth differences warrants future investigation.

We finally tested for differences in behavioral problems between ethnic groups. We were not able to support our hypothesis, as Mapuche children showed unexpectedly less externalizing behavioral problems across time points. In contrast, the Mapuche group showed more internalizing problems when children were 30 months old, but this difference disappeared during follow-up. When controlling for demographics and family variables, in a series of hierarchical regressions ethnicity remained a significant predictor of behavioral problems but in the opposite direction: Mapuche toddlers showed a (rather small) decrease in externalizing and internalizing problems across the 2-year time period. With respect to our second hypothesis, lower income level groups were associated with higher levels of externalizing and internalizing problems. However, when income was tested in a multivariate regression, it no longer predicted behavioral problems, whereas the quality of the home environment and parenting stress, and to a lesser extent and in opposite direction ethnicity, significantly contributed to the final equation. Furthermore, a higher level of maternal education was associated with more externalizing behavior problems.

Several studies have reported on the influence of culture on the expression of emotions (Halberstadt & Lozada, 2011; Mesquita, 2001). Particularly, collectivist cultures, such as Mapuche (Rommens, 2017), tend to discourage the free expression of negative emotions such as anger (Eid & Diener, 2001; Markus & Kitayama, 1991). This culture-related inhibition of negative emotions expression may explain differences in externalizing problems and may constitute an important resilience mechanism to protect Mapuche children from developing behavioral problems. Long-term follow-up should document possible negative effects of such inhibition of the expression of emotions.

The analysis of interaction terms showed that ethnicity acts as a moderator of parental stress and maternal education on the development of externalizing behavioral problems. In response to multiple and constant exposure to stressors such as prejudice and acculturation (Dunbar et al., 2000), Mapuche families may become more resilient to the effect of stress than the nonindigenous population during early parenting. However, maternal education acts as a stronger predictor of child behavioral problems in Mapuche families. It can be speculated that higher level of parent education in the Mapuche families may result in a higher level of collision between values, customs, and worldview. For instance, more educated mothers have in Chile more access to private schools, in contrast to less educated mothers who usually send their child to public schools, and the latter school system has higher levels of integration and cultural pertinence.

Our study also enabled the analysis of additional biomedical and developmental parameters. The obstetric intervention rate observed in our cohort is amazingly surpassing more than three times World Health Organization recommendations. This data support previous results indicating that in Chile there is a higher rate of obstetric interventions as compared with other Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries (Murray, 2012). The Cesarean delivery rate is also increasing in many other countries, a phenomenon that may be associated to economic development (Molina et al., 2015) and cultural behavior (Stoll et al., 2017). In our analysis, there was a higher prevalence of Cesarean delivery in nonindigenous as compared to Mapuche mothers, which may be the result of the differences in the worldview between the different (sub)cultures. We considered the type of delivery as a variable of interest in child development as a retrospective study reported that obstetric variables may have an impact on school achievement, intelligence, and neuropsychological development (Gonzalez-Mesa, Cazorla-Granados, & Gonzalez-Velanzuela, 2016). In particular, vaginal delivery was associated to better scores.
in the areas of reading accuracy, total reading, phonetic orthography, visual orthography, calculation, writing, articulatory language, expressive language, spatial structuring, visual perception, nonverbal development, and matrices.

Unexpectedly, we found that parental stress emerged as one of the most important predictors of the development of behavioral problems. According to the family stress model proposed by Conger and Donnellan (2007), parental stress is associated with significant developmental difficulties for children in particular when poverty is severe and persistent. Poverty and income inequality in Chile is a secular phenomenon affecting child environment (Cárdenas, van der Veer, Vermeer, & van Ijzendoorn, 2014). In our data set an inverse association was found between parental stress and components of socioeconomic status (maternal education and income, data not shown), further supporting this assumption; therefore, this issue deserves further investigation. In addition, modernization or contact with another—dominant—culture has been seen as a possible source of stress (García Coll et al., 2000). The Mapuche culture seems to be undergoing such a process of increasing interactions with the dominant culture, and is currently in the process of being assimilated into the mainstream Chilean society (Caniguan, 2012). This two sources of stress, low income and increased acculturation pressures, could potentially explain the higher level of parental stress. Parenting stress therefore seems a potentially fruitful target of policy and interventions to enhance the quality of parenting stress and reduce child stress, and lower maternal education. Some of these factors may affect physical and psychological child development. However, Mapuche families also seem to have developed several strategies driven by sociocultural patterns and customs that may help their children to strive and develop even with less behavioral problems than the nonindigenous population. Yet ethnicity seems to play a minor role in predicting development in Chilean toddlers compared to socioeconomic status and parenting stress.
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Appendix A

Table A.1. Association between ethnicity and physical growth at Time 2 in the nonimputed Chilean ELPI sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>Model 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical growth</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>.274</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>.413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table A.2. Association between ethnicity and Chile Behavior Checklist (CBCL) problem behaviors in the nonimputed Chilean ELPI sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBCL problem behaviors</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalizing</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>.628</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table A.3. Stepwise regression model using nonimputed data to predict physical growth in the Chilean ELPI sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Body mass index</th>
<th>Head circumference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.179</td>
<td>.193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Outcome at Time 1: .421
- Child gender: -.074
- Breast feeding time: .053
- Type of delivery: -.044
- Marital status: .031
- HOME T1: .044
- HOME T2: .031
- Ethnicity: .014

### Table A.4. Stepwise regression model using nonimputed data to predict cognitive skills and behavior problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>CBCL Internalizing</th>
<th>CBCL Externalizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>.210</td>
<td>.310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Outcome at Time 1: .281
- Child age at Time 2: -.059
- Child gender: .045
- Income: .059
- Maternal education: .104
- Marital status: .031
- HOME T1: .025
- HOME T2: .014
- Ethnicity: .005

Note: Standardized beta value from the final equation is reported only for significant predictors, except ethnicity, which is always reported. Blue bars represent positive beta values and red bars negative values. Gender: −1 = male, 1 = female. Type of delivery: −1 = normal, 1 = Cesarean. Marital status: −1 = single, 1 = couple. Ethnicity: −1 = nonindigenous, 1 = Mapuche. p value is reported for ethnicity: * < .05; ** < .01; *** < .001.